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### The big project

This is joint work with João Araújo. Our big project is for which permutation groups \( G \) (acting on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \)) is it the case that, for all singular maps \( a \) (or all singular maps of fixed rank, or just one singular map), the semigroup \( \langle G, a \rangle \) or \( \langle G, a \rangle \setminus G \), or \( \langle a^k : g \in G \rangle \), has some nice property like regularity or idempotent generation? This talk is about a particular case. For which transitive permutation groups \( G \) is it true that, for all maps \( a \) of rank 2, the semigroup \( \langle G, a \rangle \setminus G \) is idempotent-generated? We have conjectured a complete answer to this question, and proved part of it. Some nice things happen along the way.

### Idempotents

Start with an easier question. For which transitive groups \( G \) is it true that, for all maps \( a \) of rank \( k \), \( \langle G, a \rangle \setminus G \) contains a rank \( k \) idempotent (an element \( e \) with \( e^2 = e \))? Recall that the kernel of \( a \) is the partition of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) into inverse images of points in the image of \( a \).

Now an idempotent has the property that its image is a section (or transversal) to its kernel partition. Conversely, if the image of \( a \) is a section to its kernel, then some power of \( a \) is an idempotent.

So, if \( a \) has rank \( k \), then there is an idempotent of rank \( k \) in \( \langle G, a \rangle \) if and only if there is an element \( g \in G \) mapping the image of \( a \) to a section for the kernel.

So a necessary and sufficient condition is that \( G \) has the \( k \)-universal transversal property: given any \( k \)-set \( S \) and \( k \)-partition \( P \), there is an element of \( G \) mapping \( S \) to a section for \( P \).

An old theorem of Donald Higman says that this is equivalent to primitivity of the group \( G \), the property that \( G \) preserves no non-trivial partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

### 2-ut and primitivity

For \( k > 2 \), the \( k \)-ut property is very restrictive. But for \( k = 2 \), it is equivalent to something very familiar to permutation group theorists! A group \( G \) has the 2-ut property if and only if every orbit of \( G \) on 2-sets contains a section of every 2-partition. This is equivalent to saying that every orbital graph for \( G \) (graph with edge set \( SG \), the \( G \)-orbit of \( S \)) is connected.

An old theorem of Donald Higman says that this is equivalent to primitivity of the group \( G \), the property that \( G \) preserves no non-trivial partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

### The Houghton graph

Idempotent generation requires a stronger condition. Given a group \( G \), and a \( k \)-subset \( S \) and \( k \)-partition \( P \) of its domain, the Houghton graph \( H(G, k, P, S) \) is the bipartite graph with vertex set \( PG \cup SG \), with an edge from \( S' \) to \( P' \) whenever \( S' \) is a section of \( P' \).

Let \( P \) and \( S \) be the kernel and image of \( a \). If there is a product of idempotents in \( \langle a, G \rangle \setminus G \) having kernel \( P' \) and image \( S' \), then the image of each idempotent is a section of the kernel of the next, so there is a path from \( P' \) to \( S' \) in \( H(G, k, P, S) \).

So connectedness of the Houghton graph is a necessary condition for idempotent generation.

### A reformulation

The condition in the theorem is still time-consuming to check, since there are exponentially many 2-partitions of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \). By focussing on the 2-sets instead, we can find a much more efficient test:

**Theorem**

A primitive permutation group \( G \) on \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \) has the 2-Hc property if and only if, for every \( G \)-orbit \( O \) on 2-subsets of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), and every maximal block of imprimitivity \( B \) for the action of \( G \) on \( O \), the graph with edge set \( O \setminus B \) is connected.

Of course, there are only polynomially many orbital graphs to check. For each one, there are hopefully not too many maximal blocks of imprimitivity. And testing connectedness is fast! So you could just go to the computer, start up GAP, and begin testing examples …
### How many blocks?

Is there a polynomial upper bound for the number of maximal blocks of imprimitivity in a transitive group?

A special case is Wall’s conjecture, asserting that the number of maximal subgroups of a finite group is not greater than the order of the group. (This is the case where the transitive group is regular.) Wall’s conjecture was disproved by participants at an AIM workshop, written up by Guralnick, Hodge, Parshall and Scott; but they expect there to be an upper bound \( n^{1+\epsilon} \), where maybe \( \epsilon = 10^{-9} \). But this still leaves some questions:

- What about the general case?
- Even if the number is not too large, can we find them all in polynomial time?

Of course we have more information: our group is a primitive group acting on the edges of some orbital graph . . .

### An example

Consider the automorphism group of a \( m \times m \) grid: two points are joined if they lie in the same row or column. The automorphism group is the wreath product \( S_m \wr S_2 \) in its product action on \( m^2 \) points.

The edges fall into two blocks of imprimitivity under the automorphism group: horizontal and vertical. If workmen come and dig up all the vertical roads, then it is impossible to get from one row to another. So this primitive group fails to have the 2-Hc property.

### First generalisation: non-basic groups

Here is part of my take on the O’Nan–Scott theorem.

A primitive permutation group is non-basic if it preserves a Cartesian power structure on the set of points, i.e. if it is embeddable in the wreath product \( S_m \wr S_2 \) with the product action.

A primitive group is basic otherwise.

Just as in the previous example, it is easy to show that a non-basic primitive group fails to have the 2-Hc property.

The O’Nan–Scott theorem gives us good information about the basic primitive groups: they must be affine, diagonal, or almost simple.

### Second generalisation

Another way of looking at the example leads to the following.

**Proposition**

Let \( G \) be a primitive permutation group. Suppose that \( G \) has an imprimitive subgroup of index 2. Then \( G \) does not have the 2-Hc property.

Groups of this type are groups of automorphisms and anti-automorphisms of self-dual incidence structures, acting on the set of flags (incident point-block pairs) of the structure. We join two flags if they share a point or a block. The automorphisms form a subgroup of index 2, and the edges fall into two blocks depending on whether the shared element is a point or a block. If we remove edges of one type, we cannot move between flags with different elements of the other type.

### Examples

Examples for the last result include groups of projective spaces (on point-hyperplane flags or on point-hyperplane antiflags, or on i-space/\((n - i - 1)\)-space flags), symplectic generalised quadrangles in characteristic 2, \( G_2 \) generalised hexagons in characteristic 3, and some sporadic examples such as PGL(2,11) with degree 55 or 66, and HS : 2 with degree 22176 coming from symmetric 2-designs with 2-transitive groups.

The examples of degree up to 120 are:

- \( L_3(2) : 2, \) degrees 21 and 28 (flags and antiflags in Fano plane);
- \( S_6 : 2 \) and subgroups, degree 45;
- \( L_3(3) : 2, \) degrees 52 and 117;
- \( L_2(11) : 2, \) degrees 55 and 66;
- \( \text{Aut}(L_3(4)) \) and subgroups, degree 105;
- \( S_8 = L_4(2) : 2, \) degrees 105 and 120;
- \( S_7, \) degree 120.
More examples

Not all examples have such a nice geometric structure. Let \( p \) be a prime congruent to \( \pm 1 \) (mod 5) and to \( \pm 3 \) (mod 8). Then \( \text{PGL}(2, p) \) contains a conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to \( A_5 \), which splits into two classes in \( \text{PSL}(2, p) \). An \( A_5 \) subgroup of one of these \( A_5 \)'s is normalised by \( S_4 \) in \( \text{PGL}(2, p) \); elements of \( S_4 \) not in \( A_5 \) conjugate the \( A_5 \) to one in the other \( \text{PGL}(2, p) \) class.

Thus \( \text{PGL}(2, p) \), on the cosets of \( S_4 \), is a primitive group of degree \( p(p^2 - 1)/24 \), which has an imprimitive subgroup of index 2; the corresponding incidence structure has five points in a block.

There are also a couple of sporadic actions of \( M_{12} : 2 \).
I do not see the prospect of determining all these groups . . .

From duality to triality

There are further examples in which duality is replaced by the remarkable phenomenon of triality, associated with split quadratic forms in 8 variables.

The geometry of a split quadric in 8 vector space dimensions consists of the totally singular points, lines and solids (projective 3-spaces) on the quadric. The solids fall into two families: two solids belong to the same family if and only if their intersection has even codimension.

The principle of triality asserts that if the labels “point”, “solid of class 1” and “solid of class 2” are permuted arbitrarily, the lines being preserved, then the truth of all geometric properties remains unaltered.

A conjecture

Conjecture
Let \( G \) be a basic primitive permutation group. Suppose that \( G \) does not have an imprimitive normal subgroup of index 2, and is not one of the triality examples just mentioned. Then \( G \) has the 2-Hc property.
Hence, for any rank 2 map \( a \), the semigroup \( (G, a) \backslash G \) is idempotent-generated.

This conjecture has been checked computationally for all degrees up to 130 and many larger degrees. No counterexamples have been found.

Some cases

We can settle various cases of the conjecture: it is true if

- \( n \) is prime;
- \( n \) is the square of a prime;
- \( G \) is 2-homogeneous;
- \( G \) is \( S_m \) or \( A_n \) acting on \( k \)-sets.

As noted, a group with 2-Hc must be basic, and hence is affine, diagonal or almost simple. It would be nice to resolve at least the first two cases.

For example, a theorem of Wielandt asserts that a group of degree \( p^2 \) (for \( p \) prime) is affine, or contained in \( S_5 \) \( / S_2 \), or is 2-transitive. In the second case, 2-Hc fails, while in the third, it holds. So it is the affine case which has to be considered.

A program for the conjecture

The conjecture states that a basic primitive group fails to have the 2-Hc property if and only if either it has an imprimitive subgroup of index 2 or it is one of the triality examples.

According to O’Nan–Scott, a basic group is affine, diagonal or almost simple. So we have to do the following:

- Show that affine groups give no counterexamples. An affine group is basic if and only if the linear group is primitive, so we have a problem about primitive linear groups.
- Show that diagonal groups give no counterexamples.
- For almost simple groups, use the fact that the outer automorphism groups have a fairly uncomplicated structure: we can have duality and triality but not “tetrality” or higher.